

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Terry L. Frederick BUR920040012US1 3293 10/709,294 04/27/2004. **EXAMINER** 23550 7590 11/17/2006 HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC LEVIN, NAUM B 75 STATE STREET PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 14TH FLOOR ALBANY, NY 12207 2825

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/709,294	FREDERICK ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Naum B. Levin	2825	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	eptember 2006.		
2a) <u></u>		action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 April 2004</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. This office action is in response to application 10/709,294 and Amendment filed on 09/28/2006. Claims 1-20 remain pending in the application. The Examiner finds Applicant's comments persuasive on the application of Allen (US Patent 6,904,575) on the claims. However, the Examiner has found another reference, which read on the claims as presently written.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 3. Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A computer program for correcting a ground rule violation for a target via pair in design, is descriptive material and is nor statutory if not claimed as computer executable program, because the standalone program is not capable of causing the computer to correct the ground rule violation for the target via pair in design.
- 4. Claims 15-20 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

 Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a claims or

 Specification asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above,

 one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Allen et al. (US Publication No.: 20050050500).
 - 6. As to claims 1, 8 and 15 Allen discloses:
- (1) A method for correcting a ground rule violation for a target via pair in design, the method comprising steps of:

generating a redundant via for a target via (the invention forms redundant via 20 to the left of via 10. This creates space for redundant via 21 which is a redundant via of via 12 – Fig.2, [0029]; the invention adds a redundant via ... this process is similar to the process of adding redundant vias discussed above – Fig.8, [0037]) of the target via pair (The invention first locates stacked vias by determining which vias are positioned above or below vias in adjacent wiring levels of the integrated circuit design – [0007]; the invention first uses a shapes-processing program to find vias of interest ... sets of vias that should be further spaced apart – [0025]) where the redundant via corrects the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then removes the original via. In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other - Fig.8, [0037]) (col.1, II.49-54; col.4, II.40-44; col.4, II.55-57; col.5, II.57-67; col.6, II.1-7); (Abstract; [0002]; [0007]; [0025]; [0028]; [0029]; [0037]; [0038]); and

Art Unit: 2825

removing the target via corresponding to the redundant via to correct the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then removes the original via. In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other - Fig.8, [0037]) ([0007]; [0037]);

(8) A system for correcting a ground rule violation for a target via pair in design, the system comprising steps of:

means for generating a redundant via for a target via (the invention forms redundant via 20 to the left of via 10. This creates space for redundant via 21 which is a redundant via of via 12 – Fig.2, [0029]; the invention adds a redundant via ... this process is similar to the process of adding redundant vias discussed above – Fig.8, [0037]) of the target via pair (The invention first locates stacked vias by determining which vias are positioned above or below vias in adjacent wiring levels of the integrated circuit design – [0007]; the invention first uses a shapes-processing program to find vias of interest ... sets of vias that should be further spaced apart – [0025]) where the redundant via corrects the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then removes the original via. In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other - Fig.8, [0037]) (col.1, II.49-54; col.4, II.40-44; col.4, II.55-57; col.5, II.57-67; col.6, II.1-7); (Abstract; [0002]; [0007]; [0025]; [0028]; [0029]; [0037]; [0038]; [0039]); and

means for removing the target via corresponding to the redundant via to correct the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then removes the

Art Unit: 2825

original via. In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other - Fig.8, [0037]) ([0007]; [0037]; [0039]);

(15) A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium having computer readable program code embodied therein for correcting a ground rule volition for a target via pair in a design, the program product comprising:

program code configured to generate a redundant via for a target via (the invention forms redundant via 20 to the left of via 10. This creates space for redundant via 21 which is a redundant via of via 12 – Fig.2, [0029]; the invention adds a redundant via ... this process is similar to the process of adding redundant vias discussed above – Fig.8, [0037]) of the target via pair (The invention first locates stacked vias by determining which vias are positioned above or below vias in adjacent wiring levels of the integrated circuit design – [0007]; the invention first uses a shapes-processing program to find vias of interest ... sets of vias that should be further spaced apart – [0025]) where the redundant via corrects the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then removes the original via. In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other - Fig.8, [0037]) (col.1, II.49-54; col.4, II.40-44; col.4, II.55-57; col.5, II.57-67; col.6, II.1-7); (Abstract; [0002]; [0007]; [0025]; [0028]; [0029]; [0037]; [0038]; [0039]); and

program code configured to <u>remove the target via</u> corresponding to the redundant via to correct the ground rule violation (the invention adds a redundant via and then <u>removes the original via</u>. <u>In this way, vias on level Vx and Vx+1 will then no longer overlay each other</u> - Fig.8, [0037]) ([0007]; [0037]; [0039]);

Art Unit: 2825

7. As to claims 2-7, 9-14 and 16-20 Allen recites:

- (2), (9), (16) The method/system/program, wherein the removing step includes removing the redundant vias ([0007]; [0026]);
- (3), (4), (10), (11), (17), (18) The method/system/program, further comprising the step of distinguishing target via pairs from other structure ([0037]; [0038]);
- (5), (12), (19) The method/system/program, wherein the ground rule is a different-net spacing ground rule ([0032]);
- (6), (13), (20) The method/system/program, wherein the generating step includes generating the redundant structure where no spacing ground rule violation occurs for a new technology (Abstract; [0004]; [0025]; [0041]);
- (7), (14) The method/system, further comprising the steps of repeating the generating and removing steps for each level of a design ([0038]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naum B. Levin whose telephone number is 571-272-1898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Chiang can be reached on 571-272-7483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2825

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NL

Musuelo THUAN DO Primary examiner. 11/04/2006.